## Attendance and Evaluation Form Summary

**16 Evaluation Forms (21% of attendees) were completed and returned - responses categorized below**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Average Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>4.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q11</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Summit Rating = 4.40 out of 5 on 13 questions (88% approval)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments provided on evaluation forms:

**C1 -**
More facilitated dialogue between lectures

**C2 -**
Critical need for messaging (Education and Communication) to tell forest story

**C3 -**
Facilitated dialogues awesome

**C4 -**
More explicit involvement of TEK - Maybe a CMN lecture/talk on the educational philosophy

**C5 -**
Better synthesis of all diverse presentations

**C6 -**
Accessibility of presentations - looking at charts needs to be comprehensible for whole audience

**C7 -**
Too cold

**C8 -**
Something that stood out - the wide range of issues that were covered. However, we did not hear a lot of tribe management stories, that I was hoping to hear more of

**C9 -**
Moving forward - we should try to integrate the tribal wisdom and knowledge along with technological advancement

**C10 -**
The importance and fit of tribal needs in the area of forest management, utilization, and technology transfer

**C11 -**
Most attendees were somehow connected to forestry/wood products, yet had very diverse thoughts in the room. Scale that out to the public in general and the diversity of views is mind boggling

**C12 -**
University faculty often get bogged down in the minutia of their jobs - folks need to make sure "what is going on in the world" reaches back to them for incorporation into classes

**C13 -**
Significant element of the Summit: 1) so good to bring this group of people together to share, identify issues and concerns and work together on opportunities and solutions - individually daunting, together promising (synergy). The whole is greater than and richer than the sum of the parts. 2) to quote Dr. Suess parting words, "we have to do better than this for our youth" - educate early for lasting careers

**C14 -**
Concern regarding the health of the forest products industry in the region and opportunities for forest management

**C15 -**
Nice collection of relevant topics.
C16 - Need to work together as a community to better articulate the wholistic value of forestry in the region and communities interdependence of social, ecological, and economic factors.

C17 - Need to better share success models - great opportunities to learn from each other.

C18 - More policy and perspective than practical - observation, not criticism.

C19 - Facilities were very nice with great food.

C20 - Very interesting to see the difference between how the “older” attendees view potential change vs. the “younger” attendees. Seems like the older people are more policy driven to change where the younger group for more finite answers to changes/problems. Nice diversity for discussion.

C21 - This was the most beneficial conference I have ever attended! Cheers! The content was consistent with clear intention - very rare at a conference. Level of speakers was impressive. Topics were pertinent to the audience.

C22 - Most significant - diversity of perspectives - how do we effectively utilize this diversity to advance forest sustainability and management and community resilience?

C23 - My biggest feedback was the attendance drop into day 2. The program should have been 100% complete by noon. The industry folks dropped off after day 1.

C24 - Could have been more widely distributed as I never saw it advertised.